UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,874	09/30/2003	Howard Bernstein	17976-0006	6790
29052 7590 03/20/2009 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309			EXAMINER	
			SOROUSH, ALI	
AILANIA, OA 30307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/675,874	BERNSTEIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALI SOROUSH	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-56 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the confidence of the confide	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11252008.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Acknowledgement of Receipt

Applicant's response filed on 11/25/2008 to the Office Action mailed on 06/05/2008 is acknowledged.

## Status of the Claims

Claim 1 and 50 are currently amended and claim 13 is cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-12 and 14-56 are currently pending examination for patentability.

Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous Office Action are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections and/or objections presently being applied to the instant application.

## **New Grounds of Rejection**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant does not have support in the specification for the newly claim limitation "having voids defined by a structural material".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "The formulation of claim 6, wherein the corticosteroid is" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-10, 14-21, 25, 26, 27, and 31-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Weers et al. (US Patent 6309623 B1, Published 10/30/2001).

Weers et al. teach a stabilized dispersions for the delivery of a bioactive agent to the respiratory tract of a patient, wherein the dispersion comprises a plurality of perforated microstructures dispersed in a suspension medium to be administered to the lung of a patient using a metered dose inhaler. (See abstract). The perforated microstructures comprise at least one bioactive agent, have a geometric diameter between 1 and 3 microns, and at 30% permeable by the suspension medium. (See column 40, claim 1 and column 41, claim 23). The microstructure comprise a surfactant which is preferably a phospholipid. (See column 41, claims 6, 10 and 12). The bioactive agent is selected from the group consisting of antiallergis, bronchodilators, antibiotics, antineoplastics, steroids, proteins, peptides, and combinations thereof. (See column 45, claim 86). In a preferred embodiment hollow porous particles of beclomethasone

Art Unit: 1616

dipropionate (BDP) are prepared by forming an emulsion comprising 74 mg of BDP. 500mg of egg phosphotidylcholine, 7 mg of polaxmer and 15 mg of sodium oleate in methanol and spray drying the emulsion to form free flowing white powder of BDP particles. (See column 33, Lines 25-58). In another preferred embodiment hollow porous particles of triamcinolone acetonide (TAA) are prepared by forming an emulsion comprising 100 mg of TAA, 560mg of egg phosphotidylcholine, 13 mg of polaxmer and 25 mg of sodium oleate in methanol and spray drying the emulsion to form free flowing white powder of TAA particles. (See column 33, Lines 60-67 and column 34, Lines 1-25). The structural matrix can further comprise synthetic or natural polymers such as polylactides and polylactide-co-glycolides to tailor the delivery profile of respitory dispersion to optimize the effectiveness of the bioactive agent. (See column 18, Lines 10-23). Additional excipients may be added to the aerosol formulation to improve drug delivery and deposition, shelf-life and patient acceptance, such excipients include mannitol, sorbitol, lactose, and trehalose. (See column 18, Lines 23-49). With regard to the instantly claimed limitations on the duration of the drug release (2 to 24 hours), it is the Examiners position that since the formulation of Weers et al. is structurally indistinguishable from the instant claims the formulation of Weers et al. would inherently have the same release profile. For the forgoing reasons the instant claims are anticipated.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/675,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Applicant Claims
- 2. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 3. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue; and resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 11, 12, 22-24, 28-30, and 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weers et al. (US Patent 6309623 B1, Published 10/30/2001).

# **Applicant Claims**

Applicant claims a sustained release pharmaceutical formulation for delivery comprising a porous microparticle comprising a pharmaceutical agent dispersed in a hydrophobic matrix of polylactide or polylactide-co-gylcolide and further comprising a bulking agent.

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

The teachings of Weers et al. are discussed above.

Weers et al. does anticipate a composition comprising polactide or polylactideco-glycolide and further comprising a bulking agent. Weers et al. does make such a composition obvious.

# Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add polylactide or polylactide-co-glycolide and one of mannitol, sorbitol, lactose, and trehalose. One would have been motivated to so Weers et al. teach that one could either a synthetic polymer in order to optimize the effectiveness of the bioactive agent. Weers et al further teach that the addition of mannitol, sorbitol, lactose, or trehalose is useful to improve drug delivery and deposition, shelf-life and patient acceptance. For the foregoing reasons the instant invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Soroush whose telephone number is (571) 272-9925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30am to 5:00pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number

Application/Control Number: 10/675,874

Art Unit: 1616

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ali Soroush Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1616

/Johann R. Richter/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616